

WESTBURY: PROPOSED WAITING RESTRICTIONS

COMMENTS OF OBJECTION AND SUPPORT

Contents

Camargue Road / Cob Place 2
 Ingram Place..... 8
 Leighton Green 10

27 Comments received (11 objecting, 15 supporting and 1 commenting on)

Breakdown by road			
Road	Objections	Support	Comments
Camargue Road / Cob Place	5	9	0
Ingram Place	0	2	0
Leighton Green	6	4	1

Camargue Road / Cob Place

Ref	Comment received	Number of Times Received	Officer Comment
CR1	<p>Residents and businesses will have nowhere else to park if restrictions are implemented.</p> <p>I acknowledge that during the daytime vehicles do park along the east side of Camargue Road, but there are usually only one or two vehicles parked evenings and weekends. Some of the vehicles have been identified as belonging to residents of Dilton Marsh, not local residents. The proposed restrictions could be detrimental to two local small businesses and car shares (something the council is keen to support).</p> <p>Drivers currently using these areas for parking generally do so because it provides them with convenient access to two small local businesses. In addition local residents, particularly those car sharing, leave their vehicles parked on the road during the working day.</p> <p>Parking is difficult at this end of Westbury Leigh, often I cant park outside my house and need to park in Camargue Rd. Westbury Leigh is narrow in places and many houses don't have driveways. Camargue Road has provided overspill parking for many who live in Westbury Leigh, and also provides parking there for visitors and tradesmen. I cant see a reason to stop parking in Camargue Rd as it does not have a busy traffic flow. Restricting parking will have severe implications for local residents and could block up the side Roads.</p> <p>I have recently moved to this area and live at Westbury</p>	5	<p>Wiltshire Council has no duty to provide parking for individuals; its statutory duty is to maintain the right of passage along the highway.</p> <p>The proposal on Camargue Road will move parked vehicles away from the roundabout and Cob Place thus increasing visibility and safety.</p>

Ref	Comment received	Number of Times Received	Officer Comment
	<p>Leigh. No alternative for residents e.g Parking Permit ? There is also a Window company with their own forecourt that utilise the residential parking that already exists No choice of driveway construction as some residents have no back entrance. I work shifts and often get home in the dark and use the road for an alternative so I am not far from my one and only front entrance. The road opposite my door is for private parking only. I relocated in February 2021 and may have thought about residing here should I have had hi insight to this development. What solution are you proposing for residents. What solution alternatives for people that visit occasionally. Some residents already have two vehicles I live alone with one vehicle.</p> <p>I strongly object to the proposal to stop Parking in Camargue Rd. Parking is limited in Westbury Leigh and I am disabled. Often my carer has to use Camargue Rd to park as there is limited parking outside the house where I live.</p>		
CR2	<p>The proposal will lead to displaced parking elsewhere in Camargue Road.</p> <p>By introducing 'no parking' along the east side of Camargue Road the issue of the non-resident parking will merely be moved to the west side and also further along northwards, potentially in front of the entrance to Cob Pace. Would this now not be an issue exiting or entering Cob Place? As parking would still be allowed between Cob Place and Boulton Close, residents of Cob Place would now have their views obstructed northwards. Furthermore, exiting Caspian Gardens could now have restricted views and become</p>	2	It is recognised that the proposed restrictions could result in parked vehicles moving further along the road. This is the case with any new waiting restriction. The proposal on Camargue Road is to move parked vehicles away from the roundabout and Cob Place thus increasing visibility and safety.

Ref	Comment received	Number of Times Received	Officer Comment
	<p>dangerous. Three other roads and two driveways adjoin Camargue Road which represent a greater number of vehicle movements than vehicles moving in and out of Cob Place. I am concerned about the impact on the safety of drivers and the public if the current proposal is actioned. This is because I anticipate that those currently parking will simply move further down the road making visibility difficult from Caspian Gardens as well as access from the driveways of No 2 and 4 Camargue Road becoming more awkward and less safe.</p>		
CR3	<p>Inconvenience to residents.</p> <p>Assuming the parking restrictions are introduced as proposed, there would now be inconvenience and noise disturbance for the residents of 2 and 4 Camargue Road if vehicles were now to park outside as there is no grass verge buffer on this side, (and as noted above, the restricted access to driveways if parking is too close to the entranceway). Residents of 3 & 5 would now not be able to park outside their properties and so be inconvenienced. Parking immediately outside my front door would no longer be a given. I have a health issue that at times means due to extreme fatigue I need to park as close to my front door as possible.</p>	1	<p>Wiltshire Council has no duty to provide parking for individuals; its statutory duty is to maintain the right of passage along the highway.</p> <p>The scheme will be monitored and any unforeseen issues relating to the proposals will be assessed.</p>

Ref	Comment received	Number of Times Received	Officer Comment
CR4	<p>The proposals will leave to inconsiderate parking.</p> <p>As there is no grass verge on this side of the road, vehicles being parked may well mount the kerb to park. Apart from the intrusion this would represent to adjacent properties, it should be noted that this pathway, unlike the path on the Cob Place side, is designated for pedestrians and cyclists and is frequently used by mobiles scooter users.</p>	1	<p>Comments are noted. This will be monitored.</p> <p>It remains an offence to park on the footway – Wiltshire Police are able to enforce this offence.</p>
CR5	<p>Proposed restrictions will lead to an increase in traffic speeds</p> <p>However, that would facilitate speeding as there would now be no restricted views. (At least the parking slows the traffic down!)</p>	1	<p>It is recognised that parked cars do provide a certain level of traffic calming.</p> <p>Camargue Road is very wide and it is possible for two vehicles to pass even with parking on one side of the road, in these circumstances even parked cars are unable to assist in calming traffic.</p> <p>The proposal for Camargue Road has been put together to assist visibility at the roundabout and when exiting Cob Place.</p>
CR6	<p>Support</p> <p>I fully support this proposal to restrict parking on Camargue Road. It is dangerous as it is impossible to see around the corner.</p> <p>We wholeheartedly agree with the proposal as it is extremely dangerous exiting Cob Place. However this will probably result in the parking moving itself to the other side of the entrance to Cob Place resulting in the same problem in viewing the traffic along Camargue Road.</p> <p>I support the proposal to extend the 'no waiting at any time' restrictions on Camargue Road, this would certainly help</p>	8	<p>Comments of support noted. The scheme will be monitored for displacement of vehicles.</p>

Ref	Comment received	Number of Times Received	Officer Comment
	<p>with exiting Cob Place safely.</p> <p>I totally support this extension of the no waiting in this area. Having lived here for two years now on many occasions I've had to blindly pull out into oncoming traffic due to lack of visibility with larger vehicles and motorhomes blocking the view.</p> <p>For too long exiting from Cob Place has been perilous due to restricted lines of sight caused by park cars in both directions. The risk is not just limited to cars but also to cyclists and pedestrians. If this proposal is not implemented there is a strong possibility that someone will be seriously hurt.</p> <p>I fully support this proposal - the traffic comes off the roundabout at Camargue Road and Laverton Road at great speed down Camargue Road. Leaving Cob Place, with cars blocking all visibility, is extremely dangerous. There are times when you have to turn blind and hope nothing is coming - at the rate at which they travel it can be even more dangerous.</p> <p>It has become increasingly difficult and dangerous to pull out of my own road safely due to the cars (and particularly the recent addition of a motor home!) parked on Camargue Road between Cob Place and the roundabout that meets Westbury Leigh. In addition there is not enough room along this stretch for 2 cars to safely pass, meaning one often has to wait very close to the roundabout for oncoming cars to clear the section, causing a potential hazard at the roundabout. This issue is causing a safety hazard to all residents of my road, and affects anybody using Camargue</p>		

Ref	Comment received	Number of Times Received	Officer Comment
CR7	<p>road, which is quite a lot of traffic.</p> <p>I strongly support the proposed parking restrictions in Camargue Road as one often has to perform a 'blind' manoeuvre when exiting Cob Place onto Camargue Road, either to the left or right, due to parked vehicles. This is extremely hazardous. However, migration of parked vehicles to adjacent areas equally unsuitable needs to be monitored and, if necessary, the restriction extended a little further along Camargue Road if visibility problems continue.</p> <p>Westbury Town Council Highways, Planning and Development Committee met on Monday 15th November 2021, the committee considered and supported the Traffic Regulation Orders for Camargue Road / Cob Place.</p>	1	

Ingram Place

Ref	Comment received	Number of Times Received	Officer Comment
IP1	<p>Support</p> <p>There have been multiple occasions where some residents and their visitors have parked directly behind my daughter's vehicle stopping access to the main highway. This causes delays in exiting whilst the owner is located and asked to move their vehicle. This delay causes extreme anxiety for my daughter which results in severely challenging behaviours, making managing the situation both difficult and on occasion dangerous for her support workers. This frequently results in the planned trip being cancelled or appointments missed.</p> <p>On the whole, I do not believe people are intentionally blocking my daughter in but because of the lack of on-road parking space, one of the only places available is in the area behind my daughter's vehicle, as marked in yellow on the plan.</p> <p>Inconsiderate parking in the yellow area also narrows access for other residents and visitors to Ingram Place as well as making exit from the shared driveway extremely challenging, especially as a particular resident with off-road parking insists on parking outside their property to stop other visitors parking outside their home. Access for large vehicles such as recycling and refuse collection etc can also be restricted.</p> <p>As the tenancy holder of Number 9 Ingram Place on behalf of my daughter, I have taken steps to reduce parking issues caused by my daughter's support workers/visitors by having</p>	1	<p>Comments of support noted. No objections received.</p>

Ref	Comment received	Number of Times Received	Officer Comment
IP2	<p>a fully compliant driveway laid down, thus reducing our impact on already limited on-road parking, however, if at anytime somebody was to park in the area marked in yellow on the plan, this would not only block exit onto the highway for my daughter's vehicle but also for her support workers from the driveway and also for the resident of the neighbouring property with whom we share a driveway. Prior to the driveway being constructed, I had asked my daughter's support workers to not park in Ingram Place as some residents were frequently complaining, whilst others were kind enough to allow them to park on their driveways when space allowed, however as some of the support workers are female, they didn't feel safe having to park too far away, particularly in the dark so were parking in Lopes Way. Sadly there were many occasions when they arrived at their vehicles to find rather unpleasant notes stuck to their windscreens as well as a few instances of male support workers being challenged face to face, merely for parking outside or opposite a resident's home. I believe restricting parking in the marked area will make access/exit both easier AND safer, not just for my daughter but for residents and visitors in general. I therefore fully support this proposal.</p> <p>Westbury Town Council Highways, Planning and Development Committee met on Monday 15th November 2021, the committee considered and supported the Traffic Regulation Orders for Ingram Place.</p>	1	

Leighton Green

Ref	Comment received	Number of Times Received	Officer Comment
LG1	<p>The proposal will lead to displaced parking elsewhere in Leighton Green.</p> <p>Although I agree it is annoying for those properties which border the road and yes I often wonder how bin lorries, ambulances and, heaven forbid we need a fire engine, manage to negotiate the chicane which is sometimes created by poor parking. My concern is that by taking away the parking, which is used by the same people every day, where will these people park their cars. Their options will be to continue to park illegally, park further into Leighton Green pushing the problem further up the same road (which incidentally is already utilised mainly by Leighton Green residents) or park in another residential area, shifting the problem to somewhere else, to be someone else's problem.</p> <p>I have lived in Leighton Green for nearly 30 years and during that time have seen a worsening of access difficulties due to irresponsible parking. Generally this has led to increased inconvenience to residents, delivery vehicles and waste service vehicles and on occasion has resulted in increased risk to residents awaiting the arrival of blue light services. I feel strongly that the proposed changes will inevitably exacerbate this by encouraging non-residents to park further into the Green. The road layout was designed in the 1960's and is not well placed to deal with the increased number of vehicles now using it on a daily basis. This is particularly the case as you move further into the Green as the road narrows</p>	4	<p>It is recognised that the proposed restrictions could result in parked vehicles moving further into the estate. This is the case with any new waiting restriction.</p> <p>The proposal on Leighton Green is to move vehicles away from the junction with Wellhead Lane, thus maintaining visibility on access and egress.</p> <p>The scheme will be monitored for displacement of vehicles.</p>

	<p>and has tight corners that are particularly difficult to negotiate when people park near the apex of the corners or close to drive accesses. I know that at any one time there are around 6 to 8 vehicles belonging to non-residents that park in the area being considered for altered restrictions. If this number is moved further into the Green the result will simply be the moving and worsening of the problem, not its easing.</p> <p>Where will the cars move to if they can't park at that end of Leighton Green, some will move further up the Green to park. Where the road gets even narrower making it even more difficult for the residents, the refuse lorry and ambulance to turn into the T at the end.</p> <p>If the proposed no waiting area is agreed all this will do is cause those that need somewhere to park to do so further up Leighton Green where the road narrows and I believe this is more likely to cause a problem than cure what is perceived as the existing one.</p>		
<p>LG2</p>	<p>People will have nowhere else to park if restrictions are implemented</p> <p>Extending the no parking provision will not solve the problem of parking in Leighton Green. This can only be solved by identifying those who park there and working with those people to find an alternative solution for them – perhaps parking permits for the public car park on Warminster road or dedicated spaces in the Aldi car park, behind the Baptist Chapel or even negotiate to purchase parking spaces in the Chalford House hotel.</p> <p>i would like to strongly object to this proposal on the grounds that there are no other parking places provided free of charge</p>	<p>4</p>	<p>Wiltshire Council has no duty to provide parking for individuals; its statutory duty is to maintain the right of passage along the highway.</p>

<p>to the residents of warminster road. there are approximately 9 houses on the small stretch or warminster road on the left hand side towards warminster that do not have the luxury of any driveway or on street parking. the free on street parking at leighton green is the nearest parking for the residents here and it is a couple of minutes walk away..could the council suggest other free parking sites within a couple of minutes walk away. therefore in closing, its clear to me that the residents of leighton green have asked for these measures as they would like their nice quiet area car free outside their expensive houses. However, we all collectively pay council and car roadtax and we, the residents of warminster road should have the right to park there.</p> <p>I live on Warminster Road, I am one of 9 houses that I know of that does not have off street parking or driveway. There are houses on Leighton green where they have more than two cars and do not park on there own driveway. There are houses that don't even use their driveway. How about asking the residents up there to use their own driveways. Residents on Warminster Road have no choices in where to park. I'm not leaving my car at the sports centre. It is too far for me to keep an eye on plus the teenagers that go up there at night. Aldi is 90 minutes parking only. The Chalford Hotel is changing use and will involve children so there will be a safe guarding issue. Where do you suggest we park if you extend these lines?</p> <p>This road and Wellhead Lane has historically been used by people to park who are resident in the area with no other parking facilities.</p>		
---	--	--

<p>LG3</p>	<p>Speeding</p> <p>In any event, the in my experience the no waiting area is not policed so extending it will make little difference. The bigger issue is that of cars speeding along Wellhead Lane when attending the leisure centre. This probably needs to be addressed more so than the no waiting zone as there is no public footpath along this road and it is constantly used by pedestrians either going to the woods or the leisure centre, and of course pulling in and out of Leighton Green. The no waiting area would make no difference.</p> <p>How about looking at the speeding issue on Warminster Road which in my opinion is a lot more important and a much bigger safety issue.</p>	<p>2</p>	<p>Any reports of speeding should be directed to Wiltshire Police who are able to enforce the current speed limit in the area.</p>
<p>LG4</p>	<p>Proposals a result of neighbourly dispute</p> <p>In light of yesterday's news that a double murder could have resulted from an argument over a parking space now is the time to speak plainly. Parking Arguments make people's lives a misery. Wiltshire Council is being asked to choose a side in a dispute between neighbours. One house.</p>	<p>1</p>	<p>We received multiple requests for parking and waiting restrictions which were supported by many signatures then assessed and approved by Westbury Town Council.</p>

<p>LG5</p>	<p>General comments</p> <p>Alternatively, if reducing reliance on the car and consequently the number of cars on the road is the desired perhaps increase the public transport provision and lower the cost of buses to make it a cheaper option than using a car.</p> <p>Cars parked along the South Hedge at the end of Leighton Green outside No5 Wellhead Lane are not visually a problem. However drive them to park in the Green itself which is open and it will spoil the visual effect.</p> <p>I have been complaining to Wiltshire Council for years that the refuse lorries were too big for Leighton Green, driving over and damaging the curbs and making muddy tracks on the grass, damaging the soak away drains. At last the Council listened and recently started sending a four wheel lorry. The recycling lorry now has rear steering. If this new, no parking extension drives the cars up the narrow end of Leighton Green these smaller trucks will have to drive over the curbs and damage the grass again.</p>	<p>3</p>	<p>This proposal is dealing with parking restrictions in the Westbury area and, in particular, on Leighton Green.</p> <p>Vehicles navigating past the parked vehicles against the hedge towards the junction do so on the opposite side of the carriageway, which we feel is dangerous with vehicles coming into Leighton Green blind. Whilst we can appreciate your concerns regarding the visual aspect of the green area, the width of the carriageway should prevent inappropriate parking</p> <p>Comment is noted. The scheme will be monitored.</p>
-------------------	---	-----------------	---

<p>LG6</p>	<p>Support</p> <p>Restrictions on that section of road badly needed. Make sure they are enforced. At this current time large vehicles have trouble navigating corner and exiting Leighton Green one is often on the wrong side of road !!</p> <p>I have always been in favour of parking restrictions on this very narrow road especially when access and egress from properties have been restricted and support the double yellow lines. However they must run in parallel on both sides as if we have restrictions on only one side then vehicles will merely park on the opposite side. I can foresee that as there are no restrictions opposite my house vehicles will immediately park opposite my house making it impossible for me to egress my property without mounting the kerb even if that is possible.</p> <p>As my mother is a disabled resident living at leighton green she and the rest of the family totally agree with the proposed plans,in fact they should have been implemented years ago,as the parking habits of people who dont even live in leighton green make access or exiting the estate very dangerous so we all look forward to seeing the plan implemented.</p>	<p>3</p>	<p>Comments of support are noted. The scheme will be monitored for displacement of vehicles.</p>
<p>LG7</p>	<p>Westbury Town Council Highways, Planning and Development Committee met on Monday 15th November 2021, the committee considered and supported the Traffic Regulation Orders for Leighton Green.</p>	<p>1</p>	